

Planning

Planning Team Report

Lord Howe Island LEP 2010 – Rezoning of part of Portions 123 and 176 Lagoon Road for Capella Lodge. Lord Howe Island LEP 2010 - Rezoning of part of Portions 123 and 176 Lagoon Road for Proposal Title : Capella Lodge. Proposal Summary : The planning proposal seeks to amend the Lord Howe Island LEP 2010 (LHI LEP 2010) by rezoning approximately 903 square metres of portion 123 from Zone 1 Rural to Zone 2 Settlement, and 87 square metres of portion 176 from Zone 5 Special Uses to Zone 2 Settlement, to enable encroachments from structures associated with Capella Lodge on Lot 4 DP 1133177 to be rectified. PP Number PP_2014_LHOWE_001_00 Dop File No : 14/02079 **Proposal Details** 07-Feb-2014 LGA covered : Lord Howe Island **Date Planning** Proposal Received : RPA: **Director General, Department of** Northern Region : Section of the Act State Electorate : PORT MACQUARIE 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : Lagoon Road Suburb : City : Postcode : 2898 Lord Howe Island Land Parcel : Part of Portions 123 and 176 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Paul Garnett** Contact Number : 0266416607 Contact Email : paul.garnett@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **David Kelly** Contact Number : 0265632066 Contact Email : david.kelly@lhib.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Jim Clark 0266416604 Contact Number : Contact Email : jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : N/A N/A Consistent with Strategy Regional / Sub N/A N/A Regional Strategy :

MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.10	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	1	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or	No		
communications with registered lobbyists? ;			
If Yes, comment :			
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	was sought to clarify the was received on the 7 For RELEVANT PLANNING A The Department's legal planning authority for an summarised below. In accordance with sect Act) 1979, the Board is r LEP amendment, becaus • Lord Howe Island (L Howe Island Act; and • No regulation has b Under Section 54(2) of the relevant planning author It is recommended that the authority to allow program	AUTHORITY branch provided advice regarding to mending the LHI LEP 2010 (File S09 ion 54(1) of the Environmental Plan not a relevant planning authority for se: _HI) is not a local government area een made to prescribe the Board as he Act, the Minister may direct that	d. This additional information the identity of the relevant 9/01397). This advice is uning and Assessment Act (the r the purposes of finalising the under section 15A of the Lord s a relevant planning authority. the Director General is the eneral as the relevant planning ren the requirement for this
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmen			
	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
			toption of the planning property
Comment	The proposal seeks t Settlement. This is no	ella Lodge, and to enable the const	ning the subject land to Zone 2 of encroachments from structures

facility for the tourist accommodation.

The encroachments and new sewage treatment facility will be addressed with future development applications once the land has been rezoned.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended method of achieving the objectives of the planning proposal. The proposal seeks to amend the LEP by altering the zoning map to apply a Zone 2 Settlement zone to the relevant parts of portions 123 and 176.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones
 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
 2.2 Coastal Protection
 2.3 Heritage Conservation
 3.1 Residential Zones
 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

e) List any otherIn accordance with Clause 8 of the LHI LEP 2010, only SEPP BASIX applies to the Island.matters that need to
be considered :No other SEPPs apply.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : See the assessment section of his report.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal contains maps which adequately show the site, the current zone and the proposed zone.

An amendment to the Settlement Map of the LHI LEP 2010 will be required and will be prepared by the Department prior to exhibition.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal has indicated a 14 day community consultation period is proposed. This is considered to be adequate given that the proposal is considered to be a low impact planning proposal in accordance with the Department's 'A Guide to Preparing a LEP'.

It is appropriate that the Lord Howe Island Board (the Board) undertake the community consultation given the matter is of local significance. However a copy of the planning proposal will also need to be exhibited by the Department since the Director General is to be the relevant planning authority.

Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes If Yes, reasons : The Director General will be the RPA for the proposed LEP Amendment. There are no additional requirements in addition to those recommended in this planning report. Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by; If No, comment ; 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes. 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve the outcomes. 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal. 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program. 5. Providing a project time line **Time Line** The planning proposal includes a project timeline which estimates the completion of the planning proposal within 6 months because of the minor nature of the proposed amendment. However because the Board meets only every three months it is considered that a 9 month time frame for completion of the planning proposal is more appropriate. **Proposal Assessment** Principal LEP: Due Date : LHI LEP 2010 was notified on 12 March 2010. The Minister granted an exemption to Lord Comments in relation to Principal Howe Island from conforming to the Standard LEP template, due to the Island's unique LEP : controls, zones and world heritage status. Assessment Criteria The proposal is not a result of a strategic study or report. The planning proposal seeks to Need for planning rezone the subject land to facilitate the rectification of encroachments by structures proposal: associated with the tourist accommodation, Capella Lodge. The planning proposal seeks to rezone approximately 903 square metres (sqm) of portion 123 and 85 sqm of portion 176 which contain encroachments from structures associated with Capella Lodge which is located on the adjoining Lot 4 DP 1133177. That part of portion 123 which is proposed to be rezoned from Zone 1 Rural to Zone 2 Settlement, contains a number of water tanks, sheds, fencing and clothes lines for Capella Lodge. The owners of Capella Lodge also intend to install a new onsite sewage treatment system on this land once it is rezoned. That part of portion 176 which is proposed to be rezoned from Zone 5 Special Uses to Zone 2 Settlement, contains a covered walkway that is attached to Capella Lodge. The existing Rural and Special Use zones that currently apply to the land do not permit development for tourist accommodation. The Board has indicated that it is not prepared to deal with development applications for the encroachments until the land is rezoned, as it would mean acceptance of arguments about the ancillary nature of the proposed prohibited development. The Board will also not consider a development application for a new sewage treatment system unless the land is rezoned and the lot and lease boundaries for Capella Lodge revised. This approach is considered to be appropriate.

Given the restrictive nature of the Rural and Special Use zones and the Board's position, the proposed LEP amendment is the best means of achieving the intent of the planning proposal.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

Regional Strategy.

There are no regional strategies applicable to LHI. The LHI LEP 2010 is the principle strategic planning instrument for the Island. The proposal is not inconsistent with the LHI LEP 2010 for the following reasons;

• it will not facilitate additional development of the site, seeking only to rectify existing encroachments and provide for an upgraded sewage treatment facility which will lessen the impact of the development on the environment.

• it is not inconsistent with the strategies of clause 2(3) of the LHI LEP 2010 as it will not introduce new land uses or planning controls to the LEP that are inconsistent with existing planning controls.

It will not have an adverse impact on the World Heritage values of LHI.

It will not have an adverse impact on the significant native vegetation of the Island.

• It will facilitate certainty for an existing tourist accommodation development which is important to the economic wellbeing of the Island.

SEPPs

In accordance with Clause 8 of the LHI LEP 2010, only SEPP (Building Sustainability: BASIX) 2004 applies to the Island. The proposal is not inconsistent with this SEPP. No other SEPPs apply to LHI.

S117 Directions.

The following S117 directions are applicable to the proposal, 1.2 Rural Zones, 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, 2.2 Coastal Protection, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.1 Residential Zones, 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates, 3.3 Home Occupations, 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport, 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements, 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes, and 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.

Of the above s117 Directions the proposal is considered to be inconsistent with Direction 1.2.

Direction 1.2 Rural Zones is relevant to the planning proposal. The direction provides that a planning proposal must not rezone land from a rural zone to a residential zone. The planning proposal seeks to rezone 903sqm of land from Zone 1 Rural to Zone 2 Settlement.

The direction provides that a planning proposal may be inconsistent with the direction if the inconsistency is justified by a strategy or is of minor significance. The land to be rezoned from Rural to Settlement is only 903sqm in area and is already occupied by structures associated with the Capella Lodge tourist accommodation. Therefore the inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance and is justified in accordance with the terms of the direction.

Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes is relevant to the planning proposal. The direction provides that a planning proposal must not create, alter or reduce existing zonings for public purposes without the approval of the Director General and the relevant public authority. The planning proposal will rezone 85sqm of Zone 5 Special Use land which is currently used for a communications tower to Zone 2 Settlement to enable the encroachment of a covered walkway over the land to be rectified. In this instance the Board is the relevant public authority and its approval is implied in the endorsement of the planning proposal. It is recommended that the Director General agree to the reduction of the Zone 5 Special Use land in this instance.

The proposal is otherwise consistent with S117 Directions.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The proposed rezoning will not have any adverse impact on any significant native vegetation. The subject land is currently developed with a covered walkway, water tanks, sheds and clothes drying facilities for Capella Lodge. An assessment was conducted which concluded there will be no significant adverse impact on critical habitat or

	threatened species, pop	oulations or ecological communities	or their habitats.	
	The LHI LEP 2010 maps areas along the boundary of portion 176 as significant native vegetation. However the land in this location which is proposed to be rezoned already contains a covered walkway and no further building works are proposed.			
	There is no significant proposed to be rezoned	native vegetation mapped on that pa I.	rt of portion 123 that is	
ssessment Proces	S S			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make _EP :	9 months	Delegation :	DG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) d) :	Office of Environment a	and Heritage		
s Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	Νο		
2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
f no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No			
f Yes, reasons :			s	
dentify any additional s	studies, if required. :			
f Other, provide reaso	ns :			
dentify any internal co	nsultations, if required :			
No internal consultati	on required			
s the provision and fur	nding of state infrastructure	relevant to this plan? No		
f Yes, reasons :				

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning proposal Lagoon Road Lord Howe Island - Capella Lodge.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Lord Howe Island Board Report - Capella Lodge	Determination Document	Yes
Planning Proposal.docx		N
Lord Howe Island Board Cover letter - Capella Lodge Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage :

Ja	pena Louge.		_
	S.117 directions:	 1.2 Rural Zones 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 	
	Additional Information :	The Planning Proposal should proceed as a "routine" Planning Proposal however the Minister's approval is required to appoint the Director General as the relevant planning authority.	
		Accordingly, the following direction is required to be agreed to by the Minister and included on the letter supporting the Gateway Determination:	
		In accordance with section 54(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, I have determined to appoint the Director General of the Department of Planning and Infrastructure as the relevant planning authority to finalise this matter.	
		The following conditions should be included on the Gateway Determination:	
		1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:	
		 (a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013) and must be made- publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013). 	
	¥:	2. Consultation is required with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage and Environment Issues) under section 56(2)(d) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act:	
		The NSW Office of Environment and Heritage is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.	
		3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge the relevant planning authority from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission).	
		4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.	
	Supporting Reasons :	The reasons for the above recommendations for the planning proposal are as follows:	
		1. Lord Howe Island is not a LGA and no regulation has been made to prescribe the LHI Board as a relevant planning authority for the purposes of section 54(1)(b) of the EP&A Act.	
		2. The proposal is consistent with the provisions of the relevant planning strategies.	_

Signature:	the lang	
Printed Name:	STEPHEN MURRAY Date: 13 FEBRUARY 2014	